

FIP Evaluation Criteria Worksheet

Facility:	
Project Name :	
Submitted by:	
Date:	

Category and Scoring Instructions	Score	Weight	Weighted Score
Life Safety			
Are there any life safety issues associated with a failure of this component or system?	1-5	40%	
1.No life safety risk 2. Slight life safety risk 3. Moderate life safety risk 4. Strong life safety risk 5. Critical and imminent risk. Note: To receive a score of 4 or higher in this category, submission must be accompanied by written evidence of related regulatory compliance issues (Joint Commission deficiency, PFI, code official citation, insurance company recommendation, etc.) and detailed explanation of actual or potential scenarios. Absent such documentation, the highest score in this category is 3.			0.00
Energy Efficiency/Upgrade Benefits			
Does this component/system operate efficiently? Would proposed project improve energy usage? Are there other economic benefits to upgrading or replacement? What is the simple payback of this project?	1-5	20%	
1. Negligible improvement in energy efficiency; 2. Minor improvement in energy efficiency, payback of over 10 years; 3. Reasonable energy improvement, payback of 7-10 years; 4. Good energy improvement, payback of 4-7 years; 5. Significant energy improvement, payback of less than 4 years. Note: To receive a score of 4 or higher in this category, submissions must be accompanied by a detailed economic analysis that establishes the estimated cost, estimated annual savings, and estimated simple payback of the project. Absent such documentation, the highest score in this category is 3.			0.00
Serviceability			
At what point is this equipment in its service life, as defined by ASHRAE or other applicable industry standard? Does this component/system suffer or cause significant downtime for repair? Are replacement parts or repairs readily available?	1-5	15%	
1. Component is at less than half recognized usable service life, reasonable reliability on component; 2. In second half of useful service life, occasional unusual downtime for repair or maintenance; 3. At useful service life, regular downtime for repair and maintenance; 4. Up to 5 years beyond useful service life, frequent extended downtime for repair or maintenance; 5. More than 5 years beyond useful service life, unacceptable periods of downtime for repair or maintenance. Note: To receive a score of 4 or higher in this category, submissions must be accompanied with evidence of the equipment installation date (submittal data, drawings, etc.). Absent such documentation, the highest score in this category is 3.			0.00
Regulatory Compliance/Compliance with Health System Criteria			
Does the component or system allow you to meet current applicable codes? (Number of air changes, air quality, temperature, relative humidity, etc.) Does the component/system meet current recommended Health System Standards for this component/system?	1-5	10%	
1. Meets current codes, meets current Health System Standards; 2. Is grandfathered in, met old code, mostly meets current Health System Standards; 3. Is unacceptably deficient in code or partially complies with current Health System Standards; 4. AHJ has verbally given notice to correct or slightly complies with current Health System Standards; 5. AHJ has issued written citation or does not comply with current Health System criteria. Note: To receive a score of 2 or higher in this category, submissions must be accompanied by the specific regulatory requirement or Health System Standard that is not met (regulation, edition, paragraph, sub-paragraph, etc.). Absent such documentation, the highest score in this category is 1. To receive a score of 4 or higher, submission must be accompanied by written evidence of a related regulatory compliance issue (Joint Commission deficiency, PFI, code official citation, insurance company recommendation, etc.) or demonstrated non-compliance with Health System criteria. Absent such documentation, the highest score in this category is 3.			0.00
Patient Satisfaction/Business Disruption			
Are failures and downtime associated with this equipment/system affecting patient satisfaction scores? Have we received specific complaints? Will failure of this component affect business operations that are not life threatening, (e.g. moving non-critical patients, departments, etc.)?	1-5	10%	
1. No; 2. Rarely; 3. Occasionally; 4. Regularly; 5. Frequently Note: To receive a score of 4 or higher in this category, submissions must be accompanied by written evidence of related complaints or low patient and visitor satisfaction scores. Absent such documentation, the highest score in this category is 3.			0.00
Environmental Considerations			
Are there documented environmental issues associated with the component which affect facility operations?	1-5	5%	
1. No environmental considerations; 2. Environmental issues being managed in place; 3. Environmental issues are a moderate impact on occupants (e.g. lead paint); 4. Environmental issues are a significant impact on occupants; 5. Environmental issues are critical (e.g. airborne ACM in occupied space).			0.00
		100%	

Total Points	0.00
--------------	------

Application Score	0.00
-------------------	------